

**Elfin Forest / Harmony Grove Town Council
Petition**

I, ALAN BENJAMIN am a registered voter
(Print name)

of San Diego County and own land or reside within the legal boundaries of County
Service Area 107 – Elfin Forest.

Do hereby support the following:

1. The application for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85-084W, P85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staff recommendation dated August 25, 2006:
 - a. One of the two stated reasons a biological open space easement was designated in Unit 6 in 1986 was “to answer concerns about visual impacts” (FEIR 3/17/06 G4 response). Allowing the project to go forward would constitute a *breach of trust* with the public since that impact cannot be mitigated for, should housing be allowed on the current buffer agreed to by neighbors as a condition of support of the original project.
2. Vacating this viewshed and biological open space easement would create a dangerous precedent:
 - a. Many community agencies, most notably the San Dieguito Planning Group in their comment letter of August 5, 2005, have expressed concern about what would be a precedent-setting event if the vacation took place. “This sets a very frightening precedent (...). It would open the door for future vacations of hundreds of acres of dedicated biological open space.” (FEIR 3/17/06 I16)
 - b. Open space, beautiful views, and nature are defining characteristics of the community of Elfin Forest, with hundreds of acres of open space potentially at risk from development should this vacation occur.
3. Unit 6 as currently described has potential growth inducing effect:
 - a. While the application for Unit 7 has been withdrawn by a letter dated March 4, 2007 from Lennar, the developer has not stated any plans to protect Unit 7 from development in the future by selling it to a land conservancy.
 - b. Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7, since the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is necessary for access to Unit 7. Without a commitment from the Applicant to protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be stopped to prevent further growth in the future.

Signature

Date

Address

City

State

Telephone

E-mail

**Elfin Forest / Harmony Grove Town Council
Petition**

I, Nancy Reed am a registered voter
(Print name)
of San Diego County and own land or reside within the legal boundaries of County
Service Area 107 – Elfin Forest.

Do hereby support the following:

1. The application for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85-084W, P85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staff recommendation dated August 25, 2006:
 - a. One of the two stated reasons a biological open space easement was designated in Unit 6 in 1986 was “to answer concerns about visual impacts” (FEIR 3/17/06 G4 response). Allowing the project to go forward would constitute a *breach of trust* with the public since that impact cannot be mitigated for, should housing be allowed on the current buffer agreed to by neighbors as a condition of support of the original project.
2. Vacating this viewshed and biological open space easement would create a dangerous precedent:
 - a. Many community agencies, most notably the San Dieguito Planning Group in their comment letter of August 5, 2005, have expressed concern about what would be a precedent-setting event if the vacation took place. “This sets a very frightening precedent (...). It would open the door for future vacations of hundreds of acres of dedicated biological open space.” (FEIR 3/17/06 I16)
 - b. Open space, beautiful views, and nature are defining characteristics of the community of Elfin Forest, with hundreds of acres of open space potentially at risk from development should this vacation occur.
3. Unit 6 as currently described has potential growth inducing effect:
 - a. While the application for Unit 7 has been withdrawn by a letter dated March 4, 2007 from Lennar, the developer has not stated any plans to protect Unit 7 from development in the future by selling it to a land conservancy.
 - b. Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7, since the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is necessary for access to Unit 7. Without a commitment from the Applicant to protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be stopped to prevent further growth in the future.

Nancy Reed May 29, 2007
Signature Date
19902 Elfin Forest Lane Escondido CA
Address City State Zip
760-471-7933 Nancy@Elfinforest.net
Telephone E-mail

Elfin Forest / Harmony Grove Town Council
Petition

I, Kenneth N. Hoppenrath am a registered voter
(Print name)
of San Diego County and own land or reside within the legal boundaries of County
Service Area 107 – Elfin Forest.

Do hereby support the following:

1. The application for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85-084W, P85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staff recommendation dated August 25, 2006:
 - a. One of the two stated reasons a biological open space easement was designated in Unit 6 in 1986 was “to answer concerns about visual impacts” (FEIR 3/17/06 G4 response). Allowing the project to go forward would constitute a *breach of trust* with the public since that impact cannot be mitigated for, should housing be allowed on the current buffer agreed to by neighbors as a condition of support of the original project.
2. Vacating this viewshed and biological open space easement would create a dangerous precedent:
 - a. Many community agencies, most notably the San Dieguito Planning Group in their comment letter of August 5, 2005, have expressed concern about what would be a precedent-setting event if the vacation took place. “This sets a very frightening precedent (...). It would open the door for future vacations of hundreds of acres of dedicated biological open space.” (FEIR 3/17/06 I16)
 - b. Open space, beautiful views, and nature are defining characteristics of the community of Elfin Forest, with hundreds of acres of open space potentially at risk from development should this vacation occur.
3. Unit 6 as currently described has potential growth inducing effect:
 - a. While the application for Unit 7 has been withdrawn by a letter dated March 4, 2007 from Lennar, the developer has not stated any plans to protect Unit 7 from development in the future by selling it to a land conservancy.
 - b. Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7, since the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is necessary for access to Unit 7. Without a commitment from the Applicant to protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be stopped to prevent further growth in the future.

Oden Hoppenrath 9/13/07
Signature Date
1962 Country Club Harmony Grove CA 92029
Address City State
760-747-1145
Telephone E-mail

**Elfin Forest / Harmony Grove Town Council
Petition**

I, Rick Osleen am a registered voter
(Print name)
of San Diego County and own land or reside within the legal boundaries of County
Service Area 107 – Elfin Forest.

Do hereby support the following:

1. The application for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85-084W, P85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staff recommendation dated August 25, 2006:
 - a. One of the two stated reasons a biological open space easement was designated in Unit 6 in 1986 was "to answer concerns about visual impacts" (FEIR 3/17/06 G4 response). Allowing the project to go forward would constitute a *breach of trust* with the public since that impact cannot be mitigated for, should housing be allowed on the current buffer agreed to by neighbors as a condition of support of the original project.
2. Vacating this viewshed and biological open space easement would create a dangerous precedent:
 - a. Many community agencies, most notably the San Dieguito Planning Group in their comment letter of August 5, 2005, have expressed concern about what would be a precedent-setting event if the vacation took place. "This sets a very frightening precedent (...). It would open the door for future vacations of hundreds of acres of dedicated biological open space." (FEIR 3/17/06 I16)
 - b. Open space, beautiful views, and nature are defining characteristics of the community of Elfin Forest, with hundreds of acres of open space potentially at risk from development should this vacation occur.
3. Unit 6 as currently described has potential growth inducing effect:
 - a. While the application for Unit 7 has been withdrawn by a letter dated March 4, 2007 from Lennar, the developer has not stated any plans to protect Unit 7 from development in the future by selling it to a land conservancy.
 - b. Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7, since the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is necessary for access to Unit 7. Without a commitment from the Applicant to protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be stopped to prevent further growth in the future.

Rick Osleen
Signature

9-15-07
Date

20450 Fortuna Del Sur Escandido, CA
Address City State

(760) 752-4498
Telephone

osleeninc@yahoo.com
E-mail

**Elfin Forest / Harmony Grove Town Council
Petition**

I, Kimcee McNally am a registered voter
(Print name)
of San Diego County and own land or reside within the legal boundaries of County
Service Area 107 – Elfin Forest.

Do hereby support the following:

1. The application for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85-084W, P85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staff recommendation dated August 25, 2006:
 - a. One of the two stated reasons a biological open space easement was designated in Unit 6 in 1986 was “to answer concerns about visual impacts” (FEIR 3/17/06 G4 response). Allowing the project to go forward would constitute a *breach of trust* with the public since that impact cannot be mitigated for, should housing be allowed on the current buffer agreed to by neighbors as a condition of support of the original project.
2. Vacating this viewshed and biological open space easement would create a dangerous precedent:
 - a. Many community agencies, most notably the San Dieguito Planning Group in their comment letter of August 5, 2005, have expressed concern about what would be a precedent-setting event if the vacation took place. “This sets a very frightening precedent (...). It would open the door for future vacations of hundreds of acres of dedicated biological open space.” (FEIR 3/17/06 I16)
 - b. Open space, beautiful views, and nature are defining characteristics of the community of Elfin Forest, with hundreds of acres of open space potentially at risk from development should this vacation occur.
3. Unit 6 as currently described has potential growth inducing effect:
 - a. While the application for Unit 7 has been withdrawn by a letter dated March 4, 2007 from Lennar, the developer has not stated any plans to protect Unit 7 from development in the future by selling it to a land conservancy.
 - b. Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7, since the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is necessary for access to Unit 7. Without a commitment from the Applicant to protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be stopped to prevent further growth in the future.

Kimcee McNally 9/16/07
Signature Date
PO Box 131028 Carlsbad CA
Address City State
760-846-0461
Telephone E-mail

**Elfin Forest / Harmony Grove Town Council
Petition**

I, Kathy D. Silen am a registered voter
(Print name)
of San Diego County and own land or reside in Harmony Grove or Elfin Forest.

Do hereby support the following:

1. The application for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85-084W, P85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staff recommendation dated August 25, 2006:
 - a. One of the two stated reasons a biological open space easement was designated in Unit 6 in 1986 was "to answer concerns about visual impacts" (FEIR 3/17/06 G4 response). Allowing the project to go forward would constitute a *breach of trust* with the public since that impact cannot be mitigated for, should housing be allowed on the current buffer agreed to by neighbors as a condition of support of the original project.
2. Vacating this viewshed and biological open space easement would create a dangerous precedent:
 - a. Many community agencies, most notably the San Dieguito Planning Group in their comment letter of August 5, 2005, have expressed concern about what would be a precedent-setting event if the vacation took place. "This sets a very frightening precedent (...). It would open the door for future vacations of hundreds of acres of dedicated biological open space." (FEIR 3/17/06 I16)
 - b. Open space, beautiful views, and nature are defining characteristics of the community of Elfin Forest, with hundreds of acres of open space potentially at risk from development should this vacation occur.
3. Unit 6 as currently described has potential growth inducing effect:
 - a. While the application for Unit 7 has been withdrawn by a letter dated March 4, 2007 from Lennar, the developer has not stated any plans to protect Unit 7 from development in the future by selling it to a land conservancy.
 - b. Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7, since the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is necessary for access to Unit 7. Without a commitment from the Applicant to protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be stopped to prevent further growth in the future.

Kathy D. Silen 9/13/07
Signature Date
2573 Kauana Lou Harmony Grove
Address City State
760-432-6061
Telephone E-mail

**Elfin Forest / Harmony Grove Town Council
Petition**

I, Tony Da Silva am a registered voter
(Print name)
of San Diego County and own land or reside in Harmony Grove or Elfin Forest.

Do hereby support the following:

1. The application for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85-084W, P85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staff recommendation dated August 25, 2006:
 - a. One of the two stated reasons a biological open space easement was designated in Unit 6 in 1986 was "to answer concerns about visual impacts" (FEIR 3/17/06 G4 response). Allowing the project to go forward would constitute a *breach of trust* with the public since that impact cannot be mitigated for, should housing be allowed on the current buffer agreed to by neighbors as a condition of support of the original project.
2. Vacating this viewshed and biological open space easement would create a dangerous precedent:
 - a. Many community agencies, most notably the San Dieguito Planning Group in their comment letter of August 5, 2005, have expressed concern about what would be a precedent-setting event if the vacation took place. "This sets a very frightening precedent (...). It would open the door for future vacations of hundreds of acres of dedicated biological open space." (FEIR 3/17/06 I16)
 - b. Open space, beautiful views, and nature are defining characteristics of the community of Elfin Forest, with hundreds of acres of open space potentially at risk from development should this vacation occur.
3. Unit 6 as currently described has potential growth inducing effect:
 - a. While the application for Unit 7 has been withdrawn by a letter dated March 4, 2007 from Lennar, the developer has not stated any plans to protect Unit 7 from development in the future by selling it to a land conservancy.
 - b. Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7, since the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is necessary for access to Unit 7. Without a commitment from the Applicant to protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be stopped to prevent further growth in the future.

Signature

Date

Address

City

State

Telephone

E-mail

Elfin Forest / Harmony Grove Town Council
Petition

I, Eric T. Anderson am a registered voter
(Print name)
of San Diego County and own land or reside within the legal boundaries of County
Service Area 107 – Elfin Forest.

Do hereby support the following:

1. The application for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPI., P85-084W, P85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staff recommendation dated August 25, 2006:
 - a. One of the two stated reasons a biological open space easement was designated in Unit 6 in 1986 was “to answer concerns about visual impacts” (FEIR 3/17/06 G4 response). Allowing the project to go forward would constitute a *breach of trust* with the public since that impact cannot be mitigated for, should housing be allowed on the current buffer agreed to by neighbors as a condition of support of the original project.
2. Vacating this viewshed and biological open space easement would create a dangerous precedent:
 - a. Many community agencies, most notably the San Dieguito Planning Group in their comment letter of August 5, 2005, have expressed concern about what would be a precedent-setting event if the vacation took place. “This sets a very frightening precedent (...). It would open the door for future vacations of hundreds of acres of dedicated biological open space.” (FEIR 3/17/06 116)
 - b. Open space, beautiful views, and nature are defining characteristics of the community of Elfin Forest, with hundreds of acres of open space potentially at risk from development should this vacation occur.
3. Unit 6 as currently described has potential growth inducing effect:
 - a. While the application for Unit 7 has been withdrawn by a letter dated March 4, 2007 from Lennar, the developer has not stated any plans to protect Unit 7 from development in the future by selling it to a land conservancy.
 - b. Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7, since the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is necessary for access to Unit 7. Without a commitment from the Applicant to protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be stopped to prevent further growth in the future.

Eric T. Anderson 9/15/07
Signature Date
20245 Elfin Forest Rd Escalante Ca 92029
Address City State
760-491-5850 Eric@seedco.seeds.com
Telephone E-mail

Elfin Forest / Harmony Grove Town Council Petition

I, Steve Barker am a registered voter
(Print name)
of San Diego County and own land or reside within the legal boundaries of County
Service Area 107 – Elfin Forest.

Do hereby support the following:

1. The application for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85-084W, P85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staff recommendation dated August 25, 2006:
 - a. One of the two stated reasons a biological open space easement was designated in Unit 6 in 1986 was "to answer concerns about visual impacts" (FEIR 3/17/06 G4 response). Allowing the project to go forward would constitute a *breach of trust* with the public since that impact cannot be mitigated for, should housing be allowed on the current buffer agreed to by neighbors as a condition of support of the original project.
2. Vacating this viewshed and biological open space easement would create a dangerous precedent:
 - a. Many community agencies, most notably the San Dieguito Planning Group in their comment letter of August 5, 2005, have expressed concern about what would be a precedent-setting event if the vacation took place. "This sets a very frightening precedent (...). It would open the door for future vacations of hundreds of acres of dedicated biological open space." (FEIR 3/17/06 I16)
 - b. Open space, beautiful views, and nature are defining characteristics of the community of Elfin Forest, with hundreds of acres of open space potentially at risk from development should this vacation occur.
3. Unit 6 as currently described has potential growth inducing effect:
 - a. While the application for Unit 7 has been withdrawn by a letter dated March 4, 2007 from Lennar, the developer has not stated any plans to protect Unit 7 from development in the future by selling it to a land conservancy.
 - b. Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7, since the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is necessary for access to Unit 7. Without a commitment from the Applicant to protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be stopped to prevent further growth in the future.

Signature

Date

19888 Fortuna Del Este Elfin Forest CA
Address City State

760-471 2812
Telephone

Steve Barker @ VFL.com
E-mail

Elfin Forest / Harmony Grove Town Council Petition

I, Nona T. Barker am a registered voter
(Print name)
of San Diego County and own land or reside within the legal boundaries of County
Service Area 107 – Elfin Forest.

Do hereby support the following:

1. The application for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85-084W, P85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staff recommendation dated August 25, 2006:
 - a. One of the two stated reasons a biological open space easement was designated in Unit 6 in 1986 was "to answer concerns about visual impacts" (FEIR 3/17/06 G4 response). Allowing the project to go forward would constitute a *breach of trust* with the public since that impact cannot be mitigated for, should housing be allowed on the current buffer agreed to by neighbors as a condition of support of the original project.
2. Vacating this viewshed and biological open space easement would create a dangerous precedent:
 - a. Many community agencies, most notably the San Dieguito Planning Group in their comment letter of August 5, 2005, have expressed concern about what would be a precedent-setting event if the vacation took place. "This sets a very frightening precedent (...). It would open the door for future vacations of hundreds of acres of dedicated biological open space." (FEIR 3/17/06 I16)
 - b. Open space, beautiful views, and nature are defining characteristics of the community of Elfin Forest, with hundreds of acres of open space potentially at risk from development should this vacation occur.
3. Unit 6 as currently described has potential growth inducing effect:
 - a. While the application for Unit 7 has been withdrawn by a letter dated March 4, 2007 from Lennar, the developer has not stated any plans to protect Unit 7 from development in the future by selling it to a land conservancy.
 - b. Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7, since the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is necessary for access to Unit 7. Without a commitment from the Applicant to protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be stopped to prevent further growth in the future.

Nona T. Barker
Signature

9/12/2007
Date

19888 Fortunadel Este, Elfin Forest Ca
Address City State

760 471-5559
Telephone

ECLLAMAS@aol.com
E-mail

Elfin Forest / Harmony Grove Town Council
Petition

I, Debra Jakawich am a registered voter
(Print name)
of San Diego County and own land or reside within the legal boundaries of County
Service Area 107 – Elfin Forest.

Do hereby support the following:

1. The application for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85-084W, P85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staff recommendation dated August 25, 2006:
 - a. One of the two stated reasons a biological open space easement was designated in Unit 6 in 1986 was “to answer concerns about visual impacts” (FEIR 3/17/06 G4 response). Allowing the project to go forward would constitute a *breach of trust* with the public since that impact cannot be mitigated for, should housing be allowed on the current buffer agreed to by neighbors as a condition of support of the original project.
2. Vacating this viewshed and biological open space easement would create a dangerous precedent:
 - a. Many community agencies, most notably the San Dieguito Planning Group in their comment letter of August 5, 2005, have expressed concern about what would be a precedent-setting event if the vacation took place. “This sets a very frightening precedent (...). It would open the door for future vacations of hundreds of acres of dedicated biological open space.” (FEIR 3/17/06 I16)
 - b. Open space, beautiful views, and nature are defining characteristics of the community of Elfin Forest, with hundreds of acres of open space potentially at risk from development should this vacation occur.
3. Unit 6 as currently described has potential growth inducing effect:
 - a. While the application for Unit 7 has been withdrawn by a letter dated March 4, 2007 from Lennar, the developer has not stated any plans to protect Unit 7 from development in the future by selling it to a land conservancy.
 - b. Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7, since the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is necessary for access to Unit 7. Without a commitment from the Applicant to protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be stopped to prevent further growth in the future.

Debra Jakawich 9/16/07
Signature Date

7051 Elfin Oaks Rd Elfin Forest CA 92029
Address City State

760 761 0967
Telephone

jakap@junior.com
Email

**-Elfin Forest / Harmony Grove Town Council
Petition**

I, Linda Ragland am a registered voter
(Print name)
of San Diego County and own land or reside within the legal boundaries of County
Service Area 107 – Elfin Forest.

Do hereby support the following:

1. The application for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85-084W, P85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staff recommendation dated August 25, 2006:
 - a. One of the two stated reasons a biological open space easement was designated in Unit 6 in 1986 was “to answer concerns about visual impacts” (FEIR 3/17/06 G4 response). Allowing the project to go forward would constitute a *breach of trust* with the public since that impact cannot be mitigated for, should housing be allowed on the current buffer agreed to by neighbors as a condition of support of the original project.
2. Vacating this viewshed and biological open space easement would create a dangerous precedent:
 - a. Many community agencies, most notably the San Dieguito Planning Group in their comment letter of August 5, 2005, have expressed concern about what would be a precedent-setting event if the vacation took place. “This sets a very frightening precedent (...). It would open the door for future vacations of hundreds of acres of dedicated biological open space.” (FEIR 3/17/06 I16)
 - b. Open space, beautiful views, and nature are defining characteristics of the community of Elfin Forest, with hundreds of acres of open space potentially at risk from development should this vacation occur.
3. Unit 6 as currently described has potential growth inducing effect:
 - a. While the application for Unit 7 has been withdrawn by a letter dated March 4, 2007 from Lennar, the developer has not stated any plans to protect Unit 7 from development in the future by selling it to a land conservancy.
 - b. Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7, since the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is necessary for access to Unit 7. Without a commitment from the Applicant to protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be stopped to prevent further growth in the future.

Signature

Date

Address

City

State

Telephone

E-mail

Linda Ragland 9/14/07
7102 Circa de Media Elfin Forest, CA 92029

760-744-3076

lcragland@hotmail.com

Elfin Forest / Harmony Grove Town Council
Petition

I, Michael L Holmes am a registered voter
(Print name)
of San Diego County and own land or reside within the legal boundaries of County
Service Area 107 – Elfin Forest.

Do hereby support the following:

1. The application for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85-084W, P85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staff recommendation dated August 25, 2006:
 - a. One of the two stated reasons a biological open space easement was designated in Unit 6 in 1986 was “to answer concerns about visual impacts” (FEIR 3/17/06 G4 response). Allowing the project to go forward would constitute a *breach of trust* with the public since that impact cannot be mitigated for, should housing be allowed on the current buffer agreed to by neighbors as a condition of support of the original project.
2. Vacating this viewshed and biological open space easement would create a dangerous precedent:
 - a. Many community agencies, most notably the San Dieguito Planning Group in their comment letter of August 5, 2005, have expressed concern about what would be a precedent-setting event if the vacation took place. “This sets a very frightening precedent (...). It would open the door for future vacations of hundreds of acres of dedicated biological open space.” (FEIR 3/17/06 I16)
 - b. Open space, beautiful views, and nature are defining characteristics of the community of Elfin Forest, with hundreds of acres of open space potentially at risk from development should this vacation occur.
3. Unit 6 as currently described has potential growth inducing effect:
 - a. While the application for Unit 7 has been withdrawn by a letter dated March 4, 2007 from Lennar, the developer has not stated any plans to protect Unit 7 from development in the future by selling it to a land conservancy.
 - b. Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7, since the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is necessary for access to Unit 7. Without a commitment from the Applicant to protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be stopped to prevent further growth in the future.

Michael L Holmes
Signature _____ Date _____

20020 ELFIN Forest RD ESC CALIF
Address _____ City _____ State _____

Telephone

E-mail

**Elfin Forest / Harmony Grove Town Council
Petition**

I, SUSAN M. ODDO am a registered voter
(Print name)
of San Diego County and own land or reside within the legal boundaries of County
Service Area 107 – Elfin Forest.

Do hereby support the following:

1. The application for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85-084W, P85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staff recommendation dated August 25, 2006:
 - a. One of the two stated reasons a biological open space easement was designated in Unit 6 in 1986 was “to answer concerns about visual impacts” (FEIR 3/17/06 G4 response). Allowing the project to go forward would constitute a *breach of trust* with the public since that impact cannot be mitigated for, should housing be allowed on the current buffer agreed to by neighbors as a condition of support of the original project.
2. Vacating this viewshed and biological open space easement would create a dangerous precedent:
 - a. Many community agencies, most notably the San Dieguito Planning Group in their comment letter of August 5, 2005, have expressed concern about what would be a precedent-setting event if the vacation took place. “This sets a very frightening precedent (...). It would open the door for future vacations of hundreds of acres of dedicated biological open space.” (FEIR 3/17/06 I16)
 - b. Open space, beautiful views, and nature are defining characteristics of the community of Elfin Forest, with hundreds of acres of open space potentially at risk from development should this vacation occur.
3. Unit 6 as currently described has potential growth inducing effect:
 - a. While the application for Unit 7 has been withdrawn by a letter dated March 4, 2007 from Lennar, the developer has not stated any plans to protect Unit 7 from development in the future by selling it to a land conservancy.
 - b. Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7, since the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is necessary for access to Unit 7. Without a commitment from the Applicant to protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be stopped to prevent further growth in the future.

Signature

Date

Address

City

State

Telephone

E-mail

Elfin Forest / Harmony Grove Town Council
Petition

I, FRANK ODDO am a registered voter
(Print name)

of San Diego County and own land or reside within the legal boundaries of County
Service Area 107 – Elfin Forest.

Do hereby support the following:

1. The application for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85-084W, P85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staff recommendation dated August 25, 2006:
 - a. One of the two stated reasons a biological open space easement was designated in Unit 6 in 1986 was “to answer concerns about visual impacts” (FEIR 3/17/06 G4 response). Allowing the project to go forward would constitute a *breach of trust* with the public since that impact cannot be mitigated for, should housing be allowed on the current buffer agreed to by neighbors as a condition of support of the original project.
2. Vacating this viewshed and biological open space easement would create a dangerous precedent:
 - a. Many community agencies, most notably the San Dieguito Planning Group in their comment letter of August 5, 2005, have expressed concern about what would be a precedent-setting event if the vacation took place. “This sets a very frightening precedent (...). It would open the door for future vacations of hundreds of acres of dedicated biological open space.” (FEIR 3/17/06 I16)
 - b. Open space, beautiful views, and nature are defining characteristics of the community of Elfin Forest, with hundreds of acres of open space potentially at risk from development should this vacation occur.
3. Unit 6 as currently described has potential growth inducing effect:
 - a. While the application for Unit 7 has been withdrawn by a letter dated March 4, 2007 from Lennar, the developer has not stated any plans to protect Unit 7 from development in the future by selling it to a land conservancy.
 - b. Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7, since the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is necessary for access to Unit 7. Without a commitment from the Applicant to protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be stopped to prevent further growth in the future.

Signature

Date

Address

City

State

Telephone

E-mail

19665 Elfin Glen Escondido Ca 92029

9-14-07

**Elfin Forest / Harmony Grove Town Council
Petition**

I, FRANCESCA M. Oddo am a registered voter
(Print name)
of San Diego County and own land or reside within the legal boundaries of County
Service Area 107 – Elfin Forest.

Do hereby support the following:

1. The application for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85-084W, P85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staff recommendation dated August 25, 2006:
 - a. One of the two stated reasons a biological open space easement was designated in Unit 6 in 1986 was “to answer concerns about visual impacts” (FEIR 3/17/06 G4 response). Allowing the project to go forward would constitute a *breach of trust* with the public since that impact cannot be mitigated for, should housing be allowed on the current buffer agreed to by neighbors as a condition of support of the original project.
2. Vacating this viewshed and biological open space easement would create a dangerous precedent:
 - a. Many community agencies, most notably the San Dieguito Planning Group in their comment letter of August 5, 2005, have expressed concern about what would be a precedent-setting event if the vacation took place. “This sets a very frightening precedent (...). It would open the door for future vacations of hundreds of acres of dedicated biological open space.” (FEIR 3/17/06 I16)
 - b. Open space, beautiful views, and nature are defining characteristics of the community of Elfin Forest, with hundreds of acres of open space potentially at risk from development should this vacation occur.
3. Unit 6 as currently described has potential growth inducing effect:
 - a. While the application for Unit 7 has been withdrawn by a letter dated March 4, 2007 from Lennar, the developer has not stated any plans to protect Unit 7 from development in the future by selling it to a land conservancy.
 - b. Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7, since the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is necessary for access to Unit 7. Without a commitment from the Applicant to protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be stopped to prevent further growth in the future.

Francesca M. Oddo Sept 14 - 07
Signature Date
19665 ELFIN GLEN ESCONDIDO CA
Address City State
760 - 744 - 2323 _____
Telephone E-mail

**Elfin Forest / Harmony Grove Town Council
Petition**

I, JOHN D OLSEN am a registered voter
(Print name)

of San Diego County and own land or reside within the legal boundaries of County
Service Area 107 – Elfin Forest.

Do hereby support the following:

1. The application for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85-084W, P85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staff recommendation dated August 25, 2006:
 - a. One of the two stated reasons a biological open space easement was designated in Unit 6 in 1986 was "to answer concerns about visual impacts" (FEIR 3/17/06 G4 response). Allowing the project to go forward would constitute a *breach of trust* with the public since that impact cannot be mitigated for, should housing be allowed on the current buffer agreed to by neighbors as a condition of support of the original project.
2. Vacating this viewshed and biological open space easement would create a dangerous precedent:
 - a. Many community agencies, most notably the San Dieguito Planning Group in their comment letter of August 5, 2005, have expressed concern about what would be a precedent-setting event if the vacation took place. "This sets a very frightening precedent (...). It would open the door for future vacations of hundreds of acres of dedicated biological open space." (FEIR 3/17/06 I16)
 - b. Open space, beautiful views, and nature are defining characteristics of the community of Elfin Forest, with hundreds of acres of open space potentially at risk from development should this vacation occur.
3. Unit 6 as currently described has potential growth inducing effect:
 - a. While the application for Unit 7 has been withdrawn by a letter dated March 4, 2007 from Lennar, the developer has not stated any plans to protect Unit 7 from development in the future by selling it to a land conservancy.
 - b. Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7, since the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is necessary for access to Unit 7. Without a commitment from the Applicant to protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be stopped to prevent further growth in the future.

John D Olsen
Signature

Sept 14, 2007
Date

19918 ELFIN FOREST RD, ESCANDIDO CA
Address City State

760 858-534-2201
Telephone

JOlsenXX@hotmail.com
E-mail

Elfin Forest / Harmony Grove Town Council
Petition

I, Judith L. Holmes am a registered voter
(Print name)
of San Diego County and own land or reside within the legal boundaries of County
Service Area 107 – Elfin Forest.

Do hereby support the following:

1. The application for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85-084W, P85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staff recommendation dated August 25, 2006:
 - a. One of the two stated reasons a biological open space easement was designated in Unit 6 in 1986 was “to answer concerns about visual impacts” (FEIR 3/17/06 G4 response). Allowing the project to go forward would constitute a *breach of trust* with the public since that impact cannot be mitigated for, should housing be allowed on the current buffer agreed to by neighbors as a condition of support of the original project.
2. Vacating this viewshed and biological open space easement would create a dangerous precedent:
 - a. Many community agencies, most notably the San Dieguito Planning Group in their comment letter of August 5, 2005, have expressed concern about what would be a precedent-setting event if the vacation took place. “This sets a very frightening precedent (...). It would open the door for future vacations of hundreds of acres of dedicated biological open space.” (FEIR 3/17/06 I16)
 - b. Open space, beautiful views, and nature are defining characteristics of the community of Elfin Forest, with hundreds of acres of open space potentially at risk from development should this vacation occur.
3. Unit 6 as currently described has potential growth inducing effect:
 - a. While the application for Unit 7 has been withdrawn by a letter dated March 4, 2007 from Lennar, the developer has not stated any plans to protect Unit 7 from development in the future by selling it to a land conservancy.
 - b. Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7, since the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is necessary for access to Unit 7. Without a commitment from the Applicant to protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be stopped to prevent further growth in the future.

J. Holmes
Signature
20020 ELFIN Forest Rd Escondido
Address City State

Telephone E-mail

**Elfin Forest / Harmony Grove Town Council
Petition**

I, CAROLYN MARIE SWENERTON am a registered voter
(Print name)
of San Diego County and own land or reside within the legal boundaries of County
Service Area 107 – Elfin Forest.

Do hereby support the following:

1. The application for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85-084W, P85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staff recommendation dated August 25, 2006:
 - a. One of the two stated reasons a biological open space easement was designated in Unit 6 in 1986 was “to answer concerns about visual impacts” (FEIR 3/17/06 G4 response). Allowing the project to go forward would constitute a *breach of trust* with the public since that impact cannot be mitigated for, should housing be allowed on the current buffer agreed to by neighbors as a condition of support of the original project.
2. Vacating this viewshed and biological open space easement would create a dangerous precedent:
 - a. Many community agencies, most notably the San Dieguito Planning Group in their comment letter of August 5, 2005, have expressed concern about what would be a precedent-setting event if the vacation took place. “This sets a very frightening precedent (...). It would open the door for future vacations of hundreds of acres of dedicated biological open space.” (FEIR 3/17/06 I16)
 - b. Open space, beautiful views, and nature are defining characteristics of the community of Elfin Forest, with hundreds of acres of open space potentially at risk from development should this vacation occur.
3. Unit 6 as currently described has potential growth inducing effect:
 - a. While the application for Unit 7 has been withdrawn by a letter dated March 4, 2007 from Lennar, the developer has not stated any plans to protect Unit 7 from development in the future by selling it to a land conservancy.
 - b. Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7, since the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is necessary for access to Unit 7. Without a commitment from the Applicant to protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be stopped to prevent further growth in the future.

<u>Carolyn Marie Swenerton</u>		<u>9-13-07</u>
Signature		Date
<u>19992 Elfin Forest Rd</u>	<u>Escondido</u>	<u>CA 92029</u>
Address	City	State
<u>760-471-4312</u>	<u>jeffswenerton@aol.com</u>	
Telephone	E-mail	

**Elfin Forest / Harmony Grove Town Council
Petition**

I, Jeff Swenerton am a registered voter
(Print name)
of San Diego County and own land or reside within the legal boundaries of County
Service Area 107 – Elfin Forest.

Do hereby support the following:

1. The application for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85-084W, P85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staff recommendation dated August 25, 2006:
 - a. One of the two stated reasons a biological open space easement was designated in Unit 6 in 1986 was “to answer concerns about visual impacts” (FEIR 3/17/06 G4 response). Allowing the project to go forward would constitute a *breach of trust* with the public since that impact cannot be mitigated for, should housing be allowed on the current buffer agreed to by neighbors as a condition of support of the original project.
2. Vacating this viewshed and biological open space easement would create a dangerous precedent:
 - a. Many community agencies, most notably the San Dieguito Planning Group in their comment letter of August 5, 2005, have expressed concern about what would be a precedent-setting event if the vacation took place. “This sets a very frightening precedent (...). It would open the door for future vacations of hundreds of acres of dedicated biological open space.” (FEIR 3/17/06 I16)
 - b. Open space, beautiful views, and nature are defining characteristics of the community of Elfin Forest, with hundreds of acres of open space potentially at risk from development should this vacation occur.
3. Unit 6 as currently described has potential growth inducing effect:
 - a. While the application for Unit 7 has been withdrawn by a letter dated March 4, 2007 from Lennar, the developer has not stated any plans to protect Unit 7 from development in the future by selling it to a land conservancy.
 - b. Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7, since the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is necessary for access to Unit 7. Without a commitment from the Applicant to protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be stopped to prevent further growth in the future.

Jeff R. Swenerton 9/13/07
Signature Date
19992 Elfin Forest Rd Escondido CA 92029
Address City State
760-471-4312 JEFFSWENERTON@AOL.COM
Telephone E-mail

**Elfin Forest / Harmony Grove Town Council
Petition**

I, JALQUELINE ARSIVAUD-BENJAMIN am a registered voter
(Print name)
of San Diego County and own land or reside within the legal boundaries of County
Service Area 107 – Elfin Forest.

Do hereby support the following:

1. The application for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85-084W, P85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staff recommendation dated August 25, 2006:
 - a. One of the two stated reasons a biological open space easement was designated in Unit 6 in 1986 was “to answer concerns about visual impacts” (FEIR 3/17/06 G4 response). Allowing the project to go forward would constitute a *breach of trust* with the public since that impact cannot be mitigated for, should housing be allowed on the current buffer agreed to by neighbors as a condition of support of the original project.
2. Vacating this viewshed and biological open space easement would create a dangerous precedent:
 - a. Many community agencies, most notably the San Dieguito Planning Group in their comment letter of August 5, 2005, have expressed concern about what would be a precedent-setting event if the vacation took place. “This sets a very frightening precedent (...). It would open the door for future vacations of hundreds of acres of dedicated biological open space.” (FEIR 3/17/06 I16)
 - b. Open space, beautiful views, and nature are defining characteristics of the community of Elfin Forest, with hundreds of acres of open space potentially at risk from development should this vacation occur.
3. Unit 6 as currently described has potential growth inducing effect:
 - a. While the application for Unit 7 has been withdrawn by a letter dated March 4, 2007 from Lennar, the developer has not stated any plans to protect Unit 7 from development in the future by selling it to a land conservancy.
 - b. Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7, since the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is necessary for access to Unit 7. Without a commitment from the Applicant to protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be stopped to prevent further growth in the future.

Signature

Date

1486 PAINT MOUNTAIN ROAD ELFIN FOREST CA
Address City State

Telephone

E-mail

**Elfin Forest / Harmony Grove Town Council
Petition**

I, John C. Phillips am a registered voter
(Print name)
of San Diego County and own land or reside within the legal boundaries of County
Service Area 107 – Elfin Forest.

Do hereby support the following:

1. The application for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85-084W, P85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staff recommendation dated August 25, 2006:
 - a. One of the two stated reasons a biological open space easement was designated in Unit 6 in 1986 was "to answer concerns about visual impacts" (FEIR 3/17/06 G4 response). Allowing the project to go forward would constitute a *breach of trust* with the public since that impact cannot be mitigated for, should housing be allowed on the current buffer agreed to by neighbors as a condition of support of the original project.
2. Vacating this viewshed and biological open space easement would create a dangerous precedent:
 - a. Many community agencies, most notably the San Dieguito Planning Group in their comment letter of August 5, 2005, have expressed concern about what would be a precedent-setting event if the vacation took place. "This sets a very frightening precedent (...). It would open the door for future vacations of hundreds of acres of dedicated biological open space." (FEIR 3/17/06 I16)
 - b. Open space, beautiful views, and nature are defining characteristics of the community of Elfin Forest, with hundreds of acres of open space potentially at risk from development should this vacation occur.
3. Unit 6 as currently described has potential growth inducing effect:
 - a. While the application for Unit 7 has been withdrawn by a letter dated March 4, 2007 from Lennar, the developer has not stated any plans to protect Unit 7 from development in the future by selling it to a land conservancy.
 - b. Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7, since the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is necessary for access to Unit 7. Without a commitment from the Applicant to protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be stopped to prevent further growth in the future.

Signature

Date

Address

City

State

Telephone

E-mail

**Elfin Forest / Harmony Grove Town Council
Petition**

I, JOSEPH RUSSO am a registered voter
(Print name)
of San Diego County and own land or reside within the legal boundaries of County
Service Area 107 – Elfin Forest.

Do hereby support the following:

1. The application for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85-084W, P85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staff recommendation dated August 25, 2006:
 - a. One of the two stated reasons a biological open space easement was designated in Unit 6 in 1986 was “to answer concerns about visual impacts” (FEIR 3/17/06 G4 response). Allowing the project to go forward would constitute a *breach of trust* with the public since that impact cannot be mitigated for, should housing be allowed on the current buffer agreed to by neighbors as a condition of support of the original project.
2. Vacating this viewshed and biological open space easement would create a dangerous precedent:
 - a. Many community agencies, most notably the San Dieguito Planning Group in their comment letter of August 5, 2005, have expressed concern about what would be a precedent-setting event if the vacation took place. “This sets a very frightening precedent (...). It would open the door for future vacations of hundreds of acres of dedicated biological open space.” (FEIR 3/17/06 I16)
 - b. Open space, beautiful views, and nature are defining characteristics of the community of Elfin Forest, with hundreds of acres of open space potentially at risk from development should this vacation occur.
3. Unit 6 as currently described has potential growth inducing effect:
 - a. While the application for Unit 7 has been withdrawn by a letter dated March 4, 2007 from Lennar, the developer has not stated any plans to protect Unit 7 from development in the future by selling it to a land conservancy.
 - b. Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7, since the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is necessary for access to Unit 7. Without a commitment from the Applicant to protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be stopped to prevent further growth in the future.

Joseph Russo
Signature

9-15-07
Date

4097 CANYON DE ORO, ELFIN FOREST, CA. 92029
Address City State

858-759-9115
Telephone

JRUSSODPV@YAHOO.COM
E-mail

**Elfin Forest / Harmony Grove Town Council
Petition**

I, JOHN DILL am a registered voter
(Print name)
of San Diego County and own land or reside within the legal boundaries of County
Service Area 107 - Elfin Forest.

Do hereby support the following:

1. The application for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85-084W, P85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staff recommendation dated August 25, 2006:
 - a. One of the two stated reasons a biological open space easement was designated in Unit 6 in 1986 was "to answer concerns about visual impacts" (FEIR 3/17/06 G4 response). Allowing the project to go forward would constitute a *breach of trust* with the public since that impact cannot be mitigated for, should housing be allowed on the current buffer agreed to by neighbors as a condition of support of the original project.
2. Vacating this viewshed and biological open space easement would create a dangerous precedent:
 - a. Many community agencies, most notably the San Dieguito Planning Group in their comment letter of August 5, 2005, have expressed concern about what would be a precedent-setting event if the vacation took place. "This sets a very frightening precedent (...). It would open the door for future vacations of hundreds of acres of dedicated biological open space." (FEIR 3/17/06 116)
 - b. Open space, beautiful views, and nature are defining characteristics of the community of Elfin Forest, with hundreds of acres of open space potentially at risk from development should this vacation occur.
3. Unit 6 as currently described has potential growth inducing effect:
 - a. While the application for Unit 7 has been withdrawn by a letter dated March 4, 2007 from Lennar, the developer has not stated any plans to protect Unit 7 from development in the future by selling it to a land conservancy.
 - b. Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7, since the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is necessary for access to Unit 7. Without a commitment from the Applicant to protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be stopped to prevent further growth in the future.

<u>John Dill</u> Signature	<u>09-12-07</u> Date	
<u>7909 FARAWAY LAKE, ESCONDIDO, CA 92029-4801</u>		
Address	City	State
<u>(760) 736-4333</u> Telephone	<u>jdil2578@student.palomar.edu</u> E-mail	

**Elfin Forest / Harmony Grove Town Council
Petition**

I, DOUGLAS DILL am a registered voter
(Print name)
of San Diego County and own land or reside within the legal boundaries of County
Service Area 107 - Elfin Forest.

Do hereby support the following:

1. The application for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85-084W, P85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staff recommendation dated August 25, 2006:
 - a. One of the two stated reasons a biological open space easement was designated in Unit 6 in 1986 was "to answer concerns about visual impacts" (FEIR 3/17/06 G4 response). Allowing the project to go forward would constitute a *breach of trust* with the public since that impact cannot be mitigated for, should housing be allowed on the current buffer agreed to by neighbors as a condition of support of the original project.
2. Vacating this viewshed and biological open space easement would create a dangerous precedent:
 - a. Many community agencies, most notably the San Dieguito Planning Group in their comment letter of August 5, 2005, have expressed concern about what would be a precedent-setting event if the vacation took place. "This sets a very frightening precedent (...). It would open the door for future vacations of hundreds of acres of dedicated biological open space." (FEIR 3/17/06 I16)
 - b. Open space, beautiful views, and nature are defining characteristics of the community of Elfin Forest, with hundreds of acres of open space potentially at risk from development should this vacation occur.
3. Unit 6 as currently described has potential growth inducing effect:
 - a. While the application for Unit 7 has been withdrawn by a letter dated March 4, 2007 from Lennar, the developer has not stated any plans to protect Unit 7 from development in the future by selling it to a land conservancy.
 - b. Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7, since the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is necessary for access to Unit 7. Without a commitment from the Applicant to protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be stopped to prevent further growth in the future.

Douglas A Dill 09-12-07
Signature Date
7909 FARAWAY LANE, ESCONDIDO, CA 92029-4801
Address City State
(760) 736-4333 doug.dill@worldnet.att.net
Telephone E-mail

**Elfin Forest / Harmony Grove Town Council
Petition**

I, ELIZABETH DILL am a registered voter
(Print name)
of San Diego County and own land or reside within the legal boundaries of County
Service Area 107 - Elfin Forest.

Do hereby support the following:

1. The application for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85-084W, P85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staff recommendation dated August 25, 2006:
 - a. One of the two stated reasons a biological open space easement was designated in Unit 6 in 1986 was "to answer concerns about visual impacts" (FEIR 3/17/06 G4 response). Allowing the project to go forward would constitute a *breach of trust* with the public since that impact cannot be mitigated for, should housing be allowed on the current buffer agreed to by neighbors as a condition of support of the original project.
2. Vacating this viewshed and biological open space easement would create a dangerous precedent:
 - a. Many community agencies, most notably the San Dieguito Planning Group in their comment letter of August 5, 2005, have expressed concern about what would be a precedent-setting event if the vacation took place. "This sets a very frightening precedent (...). It would open the door for future vacations of hundreds of acres of dedicated biological open space." (FEIR 3/17/06 I16)
 - b. Open space, beautiful views, and nature are defining characteristics of the community of Elfin Forest, with hundreds of acres of open space potentially at risk from development should this vacation occur.
3. Unit 6 as currently described has potential growth inducing effect:
 - a. While the application for Unit 7 has been withdrawn by a letter dated March 4, 2007 from Lennar, the developer has not stated any plans to protect Unit 7 from development in the future by selling it to a land conservancy.
 - b. Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7, since the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is necessary for access to Unit 7. Without a commitment from the Applicant to protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be stopped to prevent further growth in the future.

Elizabeth O Dill 09-12-07
Signature Date
7909 FARAWAY LANE, ESCONDIDO, CA 92029-4801
Address City State
(760) 736-4333 mslizzy@worldnet.att.net
Telephone E-mail

**Elfin Forest / Harmony Grove Town Council
Petition**

I, Karen Gardner am a registered voter
(Print name)
of San Diego County and own land or reside within the legal boundaries of County
Service Area 107 – Elfin Forest.

Do hereby support the following:

1. The application for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85-084W, P85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staff recommendation dated August 25, 2006:
 - a. One of the two stated reasons a biological open space easement was designated in Unit 6 in 1986 was “to answer concerns about visual impacts” (FEIR 3/17/06 G4 response). Allowing the project to go forward would constitute a *breach of trust* with the public since that impact cannot be mitigated for, should housing be allowed on the current buffer agreed to by neighbors as a condition of support of the original project.
2. Vacating this viewshed and biological open space easement would create a dangerous precedent:
 - a. Many community agencies, most notably the San Dieguito Planning Group in their comment letter of August 5, 2005, have expressed concern about what would be a precedent-setting event if the vacation took place. “This sets a very frightening precedent (...). It would open the door for future vacations of hundreds of acres of dedicated biological open space.” (FEIR 3/17/06 I16)
 - b. Open space, beautiful views, and nature are defining characteristics of the community of Elfin Forest, with hundreds of acres of open space potentially at risk from development should this vacation occur.
3. Unit 6 as currently described has potential growth inducing effect:
 - a. While the application for Unit 7 has been withdrawn by a letter dated March 4, 2007 from Lennar, the developer has not stated any plans to protect Unit 7 from development in the future by selling it to a land conservancy.
 - b. Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7, since the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is necessary for access to Unit 7. Without a commitment from the Applicant to protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be stopped to prevent further growth in the future.

Signature

Date

Address

City

State

Telephone

E-mail


Elfin Forest / Harmony Grove Town Council
Petition

I, CHRIS CASSAPAKIS am a registered voter
(Print name)

of San Diego County and own land or reside within the legal boundaries of County
Service Area 107 – Elfin Forest.

Do hereby support the following:

1. The application for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85-084W, P85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staff recommendation dated August 25, 2006:
 - a. One of the two stated reasons a biological open space easement was designated in Unit 6 in 1986 was “to answer concerns about visual impacts” (FEIR 3/17/06 G4 response). Allowing the project to go forward would constitute a *breach of trust* with the public since that impact cannot be mitigated for, should housing be allowed on the current buffer agreed to by neighbors as a condition of support of the original project.
2. Vacating this viewshed and biological open space easement would create a dangerous precedent:
 - a. Many community agencies, most notably the San Dieguito Planning Group in their comment letter of August 5, 2005, have expressed concern about what would be a precedent-setting event if the vacation took place. “This sets a very frightening precedent (...). It would open the door for future vacations of hundreds of acres of dedicated biological open space.” (FEIR 3/17/06 I16)
 - b. Open space, beautiful views, and nature are defining characteristics of the community of Elfin Forest, with hundreds of acres of open space potentially at risk from development should this vacation occur.
3. Unit 6 as currently described has potential growth inducing effect:
 - a. While the application for Unit 7 has been withdrawn by a letter dated March 4, 2007 from Lennar, the developer has not stated any plans to protect Unit 7 from development in the future by selling it to a land conservancy.
 - b. Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7, since the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is necessary for access to Unit 7. Without a commitment from the Applicant to protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be stopped to prevent further growth in the future.


	9-14-07
Signature	Date
<u>1408 PAINT MOUNTAIN RD., ESCONDIDO CA. 92029</u>	
Address	City State
<u>760-752-9977</u>	
Telephone	<u>cassapakis@msn.com</u>
	E-mail

Elfin Forest / Harmony Grove Town Council
Petition

I, Sue Drawdy am a registered voter
(Print name)
of San Diego County and own land or reside within the legal boundaries of County
Service Area 107 – Elfin Forest.

Do hereby support the following:

1. The application for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85-084W, P85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staff recommendation dated August 25, 2006:
 - a. One of the two stated reasons a biological open space easement was designated in Unit 6 in 1986 was “to answer concerns about visual impacts” (FEIR 3/17/06 G4 response). Allowing the project to go forward would constitute a *breach of trust* with the public since that impact cannot be mitigated for, should housing be allowed on the current buffer agreed to by neighbors as a condition of support of the original project.
2. Vacating this viewshed and biological open space easement would create a dangerous precedent:
 - a. Many community agencies, most notably the San Dieguito Planning Group in their comment letter of August 5, 2005, have expressed concern about what would be a precedent-setting event if the vacation took place. “This sets a very frightening precedent (...). It would open the door for future vacations of hundreds of acres of dedicated biological open space.” (FEIR 3/17/06 I16)
 - b. Open space, beautiful views, and nature are defining characteristics of the community of Elfin Forest, with hundreds of acres of open space potentially at risk from development should this vacation occur.
3. Unit 6 as currently described has potential growth inducing effect:
 - a. While the application for Unit 7 has been withdrawn by a letter dated March 4, 2007 from Lennar, the developer has not stated any plans to protect Unit 7 from development in the future by selling it to a land conservancy.
 - b. Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7, since the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is necessary for access to Unit 7. Without a commitment from the Applicant to protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be stopped to prevent further growth in the future.



Signature _____ Date _____
1437 Paint Mtn Rd
Address _____ City _____ State _____
ELFIN FOREST
Telephone _____ E-mail _____
415378 7963

Elfin Forest / Harmony Grove Town Council Petition

I, Janet L. Denny, am a registered voter of San Diego County and own land or reside within the legal boundaries of County Service Area 107 – Elfin Forest.

Do hereby support the following:

1. The application for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85-084W, P85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staff recommendation dated August 25, 2006:
 - a. One of the two stated reasons a biological open space easement was designated in Unit 6 in 1986 was “to answer concerns about visual impacts” (FEIR 3/17/06 G4 response). Allowing the project to go forward would constitute a *breach of trust* with the public since that impact cannot be mitigated for, should housing be allowed on the current buffer agreed to by neighbors as a condition of support of the original project.
2. Vacating this viewshed and biological open space easement would create a dangerous precedent:
 - a. Many community agencies, most notably the San Dieguito Planning Group in their comment letter of August 5, 2005, have expressed concern about what would be a precedent-setting event if the vacation took place. “This sets a very frightening precedent (...). It would open the door for future vacations of hundreds of acres of dedicated biological open space.” (FEIR 3/17/06 I16)
 - b. Open space, beautiful views, and nature are defining characteristics of the community of Elfin Forest, with hundreds of acres of open space potentially at risk from development should this vacation occur.
3. Unit 6 as currently described has potential growth inducing effect:
 - a. While the application for Unit 7 has been withdrawn by a letter dated March 4, 2007 from Lennar, the developer has not stated any plans to protect Unit 7 from development in the future by selling it to a land conservancy.
 - b. Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7, since the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is necessary for access to Unit 7. Without a commitment from the Applicant to protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be stopped to prevent further growth in the future.



Signature

9/13/07

Date

2255 Seaquest Trail

Escondido

CA

92029

760-736-8436

Telephone

jan@raptorridge.net

E-mail

Elfin Forest / Harmony Grove Town Council

Bridges Unit 6 Petition

I, Michael York am a registered voter
(Print name)
of San Diego County and own land or reside within the legal boundaries of County
Service Area 107 – Elfin Forest.

Do hereby support the following:

1. The application for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85-084W, P85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staff recommendation dated August 25, 2006:
 - a. One of the two stated reasons a biological open space easement was designated in Unit 6 in 1986 was “to answer concerns about visual impacts” (FEIR 3/17/06 G4 response). Allowing the project to go forward would constitute a *breach of trust* with the public since that impact cannot be mitigated for, should housing be allowed on the current buffer agreed to by neighbors as a condition of support of the original project.
2. Vacating this viewshed and biological open space easement would create a dangerous precedent:
 - a. Many community agencies, most notably the San Dieguito Planning Group in their comment letter of August 5, 2005, have expressed concern about what would be a precedent-setting event if the vacation took place. “This sets a very frightening precedent (...). It would open the door for future vacations of hundreds of acres of dedicated biological open space.” (FEIR 3/17/06 I16)
 - b. Open space, beautiful views, and nature are defining characteristics of the community of Elfin Forest, with hundreds of acres of open space potentially at risk from development should this vacation occur.
3. Unit 6 as currently described has potential growth inducing effect:
 - a. While the application for Unit 7 has been withdrawn by a letter dated March 4, 2007 from Lennar, the developer has not stated any plans to protect Unit 7 from development in the future by selling it to a land conservancy.
 - b. Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7, since the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is necessary for access to Unit 7. Without a commitment from the Applicant to protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be stopped to prevent further growth in the future.

Mike York
Signature
6949 Elfin Oak Rd Elfin Forest, CA 92029
Address City State Date
760-752-7784
Telephone
shawm@rustycrow.com
E-mail

Elfin Forest / Harmony Grove Town Council


Bridges Unit 6 Petition

I, Bruce Mueller am a registered voter
(Print name)
of San Diego County and own land or reside within the legal boundaries of County
Service Area 107 – Elfin Forest.

Do hereby support the following:

1. The application for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85-084W, P85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staff recommendation dated August 25, 2006:
 - a. One of the two stated reasons a biological open space easement was designated in Unit 6 in 1986 was "to answer concerns about visual impacts" (FEIR 3/17/06 G4 response). Allowing the project to go forward would constitute a *breach of trust* with the public since that impact cannot be mitigated for, should housing be allowed on the current buffer agreed to by neighbors as a condition of support of the original project.
2. Vacating this viewshed and biological open space easement would create a dangerous precedent:
 - a. Many community agencies, most notably the San Dieguito Planning Group in their comment letter of August 5, 2005, have expressed concern about what would be a precedent-setting event if the vacation took place. "This sets a very frightening precedent (...). It would open the door for future vacations of hundreds of acres of dedicated biological open space." (FEIR 3/17/06 I16)
 - b. Open space, beautiful views, and nature are defining characteristics of the community of Elfin Forest, with hundreds of acres of open space potentially at risk from development should this vacation occur.
3. Unit 6 as currently described has potential growth inducing effect:
 - a. While the application for Unit 7 has been withdrawn by a letter dated March 4, 2007 from Lennar, the developer has not stated any plans to protect Unit 7 from development in the future by selling it to a land conservancy.
 - b. Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7, since the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is necessary for access to Unit 7. Without a commitment from the Applicant to protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be stopped to prevent further growth in the future.

Bruce Mueller 4/10/07
Signature Date
1812 Country Club Dr., Escondido CA 92029
Address City State
(760) 432-8774
Telephone E-mail



December 4, 2007

Dear Bridges Club Member,

Here at the Bridges, it has been one of our major goals for many years driving range facility, which will no doubt enhance the experience for all members. The process to gain the necessary approvals for the expansion has been ongoing and will continue to require the use of all the resources available to us to accomplish this objective. Fortunately, we are happy to report to all of you that we have reached a major milestone in the process. On Monday, December 3, 2007, we received official notice that we are scheduled for public hearing with the County Planning Commission. We are also happy to report that we have obtained the necessary support. This is great news for all of us!

The following is information regarding the scheduled meeting:

Planning Commission Hearing Date: Friday, December 14, 2007

Time: 9:00 am

Location: Department of Planning and Land Use Hearing Room, 5200 La Jolla Village Drive, Suite B, San Diego, CA 92123

With the Planning Commission Hearing next week it is now time for us to ask for your help in this process. We have attached a petition which supports our expansion issue. We are requesting that you sign the petition and return it to us by Tuesday, December 11, 2007 to the address below. For your convenience, blank petitions are available at the Concierge desk as well as the Sales Pavilion. If you feel free to deliver your petition to the Concierge or front gate.

The Bridges
PO Box 1322
Rancho Santa Fe, CA 92067
Attn: Ken Ayers

December 13, 2007

Ms. Maggie Loy
County of San Diego
5201 Ruffin Road
San Diego, CA 92123

RECEIVED
DEC 14 2007

San Diego County
Planning Commission

**RE: THE BRIDGES AT RANCHO SANTA FE PROPOSAL TO
1) EXPAND DRIVING RANGE AND
2) CONSTRUCT FIVE NEW HOMES**

Dear Ms. Loy:

I reside at 3086 Wildflower Drive, Olivenhain, CA in Wildflower Estates, a community adjacent to the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe golf club and community. I have lived in this neighborhood for seven and one half years.

I am writing to express my support for the proposed expansion to the golf Driving Range, as well as for the proposed five new homes known as Unit 6.

The Bridges has been and is a good neighbor. It is a first rate planned community, which has been planned and executed reflecting the highest possible standards in all aspects, both as to the community itself as well as its surroundings. Its proximity to my home and our development has been an asset to our increased property values and to the area's tax base.

I am able to see the Bridges from my home, and I am not concerned that the expansion to the driving range, as well as the addition of five new homes, will significantly or negatively affect my view. On the contrary, I think the opposite is true. I believe the clubhouse and the golf course have enhanced the view from my home, adding a very interesting component to my vista, and I am confident these additional elements will not significantly eliminate this from view.

The very small area of open space in Unit 6 being converted into five home sites is being mitigated by replacing with four times as much habitat and open space, at a location which is environmentally superior. The five home sites are located entirely within the confines of the Bridges community, have been planned with the highest sensitivity to neighboring properties, and are solely accessed through the Bridges' internal roadways.

For these reasons, *I strongly support the Bridges' expansion of the driving range, as well as the creation of five new home sites, collectively known as Alternative C of the proposed project's Environmental Impact Report.*

Sincerely,

Joseph M. Ramos

Joseph M. Ramos
3086 Wildflower Drive
Olivenhain, CA 92024

Home Telephone: 858-759-7090
Mobile Telephone: 858-361-3569

RECEIVED
DEC 14 2007

Mr. David P. Kreitzer
County of San Diego Planning Commission
5201 Ruffin Road
San Diego, CA 92123

December 10, 2007

RE: The Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe proposal for the driving range expansion and five new homesites

Dear Mr. Kreitzer:


I am writing you to let you know that I strongly support The Bridges proposal. I have been a property owner and resident of The Bridges for six years.

The club has been an exceptional model for planned communities and considered the premier golf club in San Diego County, if not Southern California. As such, the Bridges has attracted both tax revenues and significant local business revenue from both its resident and non resident members. Additionally, we have been very good community advocates and neighbors through charity event participation and community recognition from numerous nationally televised events.

The driving range expansion will further enhance The Bridges world-class reputation and add even more value to the community of Rancho Santa Fe and San Diego County, while the addition of the five homesites will be consistent with the existing quality of the club with no adverse affect on its surroundings.


For the above reasons I strongly support the Bridges' expansion of the driving range, as well as the creation of five new homesites and thank you in advance for your consideration of this proposal.

Sincerely,



Brad Mason
6284 Strada Fragante
Rancho Santa Fe, CA 92091

County of San Diego Planning Commissioners
c/o Department of Planning and Land Use
5201 Ruffin Road, Suite B
San Diego, CA 92123



Dear County of San Diego Planning Commissioners,

**I SUPPORT THE PROPOSAL TO EXPAND THE DRIVING RANGE AND
CONSTRUCT FIVE NEW HOMESITES AT
THE BRIDGES @ RANCHO SANTA FE**

As a resident and/or member of The Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe, I enthusiastically support the proposal to expand the Driving Range and construct five new homesites at The Bridges otherwise known as Alternative C of the Environmental Impact Report (applications: TM5270, MUP 85-084W-5, MUP 064-W4, SPA 01-004, SPA 03-006, VAC 03-018, B/C 03-0250, and B/C 03-0221).

The Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe has, from the outset, had a land plan and architecture throughout the community which reflects the highest quality and compatibility with its surroundings. Conversion of one parcel in Unit 6 into five new homesites are a modest change, entirely located within the confines of The Bridges Specific Plan, and is being done with mitigation for all impacts and with the highest possible sensitivity to neighboring properties.

The expansion of the driving range will fulfill a missing component of the golf course, and enable the Bridges to reach its full potential as a complete world-class golf facility. In addition, the golf members really need this improvement.

I encourage you to vote YES on this proposal!

Signature


Date

Name (Printed)

Address

CC: Chairman Ron Roberts, Forth District Supervisor
Vice-Chairman Greg Cox, First District Supervisor
Bill Horn, Fifth District Supervisor
Dianne Jacob, Second District Supervisor
Pam Slater-Price, Third District Supervisor
Eric Gibson, Interim Planning Director - Department of Planning and Land Use
Glenn Russell, Interim Deputy Director - Department of Planning and Land Use
Claudia Anzures, Senior Deputy Counsel - County of San Diego
Maggie Loy, Environmental Coordinator - Department of Planning and Land Use

County of San Diego Planning Commissioners
c/o Department of Planning and Land Use
5201 Ruffin Road, Suite B
San Diego, CA 92123



Dear County of San Diego Planning Commissioners,


**I SUPPORT THE PROPOSAL TO EXPAND THE DRIVING RANGE AND
CONSTRUCT FIVE NEW HOMESITES AT
THE BRIDGES @ RANCHO SANTA FE**

As a resident and/or member of The Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe, I enthusiastically support the proposal to expand the Driving Range and construct five new homesites at The Bridges otherwise known as Alternative C of the Environmental Impact Report (applications: TM5270, MUP 85-084W-5, MUP 064-W4, SPA 01-004, SPA 03-006, VAC 03-018, B/C 03-0250, and B/C 03-0221).

The Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe has, from the outset, had a land plan and architecture throughout the community which reflects the highest quality and compatibility with its surroundings. Conversion of one parcel in Unit 6 into five new homesites are a modest change, entirely located within the confines of The Bridges Specific Plan, and is being done with mitigation for all impacts and with the highest possible sensitivity to neighboring properties.

The expansion of the driving range will fulfill a missing component of the golf course, and enable the Bridges to reach its full potential as a complete world-class golf facility. In addition, the golf members really need this improvement.

I encourage you to vote YES on this proposal!


Signature

12/07/07
Date


ROBERT ENGMAN
Name (Printed)

6592 CALLE REINA RANCHO SANTA FE
Address

CA 92067

CC: Chairman Ron Roberts, Forth District Supervisor
Vice-Chairman Greg Cox, First District Supervisor
Bill Horn, Fifth District Supervisor
Dianne Jacob, Second District Supervisor
Pam Slater-Price, Third District Supervisor
Eric Gibson, Interim Planning Director - Department of Planning and Land Use
Glenn Russell, Interim Deputy Director - Department of Planning and Land Use
Claudia Anzures, Senior Deputy Counsel - County of San Diego
Maggie Loy, Environmental Coordinator - Department of Planning and Land Use

County of San Diego Planning Commissioners
c/o Department of Planning and Land Use
5201 Ruffin Road, Suite B
San Diego, CA 92123



Dear County of San Diego Planning Commissioners,


**I SUPPORT THE PROPOSAL TO EXPAND THE DRIVING RANGE AND
CONSTRUCT FIVE NEW HOMESITES AT
THE BRIDGES @ RANCHO SANTA FE**

As a resident and/or member of The Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe, I enthusiastically support the proposal to expand the Driving Range and construct five new homesites at The Bridges otherwise known as Alternative C of the Environmental Impact Report (applications: TM5270, MUP 85-084W-5, MUP 064-W4, SPA 01-004, SPA 03-006, VAC 03-018, B/C 03-0250, and B/C 03-0221).

The Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe has, from the outset, had a land plan and architecture throughout the community which reflects the highest quality and compatibility with its surroundings. Conversion of one parcel in Unit 6 into five new homesites are a modest change, entirely located within the confines of The Bridges Specific Plan, and is being done with mitigation for all impacts and with the highest possible sensitivity to neighboring properties.

The expansion of the driving range will fulfill a missing component of the golf course, and enable the Bridges to reach its full potential as a complete world-class golf facility. In addition, the golf members really need this improvement.

I encourage you to vote YES on this proposal!


Signature _____ Date 12-10-07

HARMON JOHNSON
Name (Printed) _____

18414 Calle LaSERRA, Rancho Santa Fe
Address _____
92091

CC: Chairman Ron Roberts, Forth District Supervisor
Vice-Chairman Greg Cox, First District Supervisor
Bill Horn, Fifth District Supervisor
Dianne Jacob, Second District Supervisor
Pam Slater-Price, Third District Supervisor
Eric Gibson, Interim Planning Director - Department of Planning and Land Use
Glenn Russell, Interim Deputy Director - Department of Planning and Land Use
Claudia Anzures, Senior Deputy Counsel - County of San Diego
Maggie Loy, Environmental Coordinator - Department of Planning and Land Use

County of San Diego Planning Commissioners
c/o Department of Planning and Land Use
5201 Ruffin Road, Suite B
San Diego, CA 92123

Dear County of San Diego Planning Commissioners,

**I SUPPORT THE PROPOSAL TO EXPAND THE DRIVING RANGE AND
CONSTRUCT FIVE NEW HOMESITES AT
THE BRIDGES @ RANCHO SANTA FE**

As a resident and/or member of The Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe, I enthusiastically support the proposal to expand the Driving Range and construct five new homesites at The Bridges otherwise known as Alternative C of the Environmental Impact Report (applications: TM5270, MUP 85-084W-5, MUP 064-W4, SPA 01-004, SPA 03-006, VAC 03-018, B/C 03-0250, and B/C 03-0221).

The Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe has, from the outset, had a land plan and architecture throughout the community which reflects the highest quality and compatibility with its surroundings. Conversion of one parcel in Unit 6 into five new homesites are a modest change, entirely located within the confines of The Bridges Specific Plan, and is being done with mitigation for all impacts and with the highest possible sensitivity to neighboring properties.

The expansion of the driving range will fulfill a missing component of the golf course, and enable the Bridges to reach its full potential as a complete world-class golf facility. In addition, the golf members really need this improvement.

I encourage you to vote YES on this proposal!

Signature

Date

Name (Printed)

Address

CC: Chairman Ron Roberts, Forth District Supervisor
Vice-Chairman Greg Cox, First District Supervisor
Bill Horn, Fifth District Supervisor
Dianne Jacob, Second District Supervisor
Pam Slater-Price, Third District Supervisor
Eric Gibson, Interim Planning Director - Department of Planning and Land Use
Glenn Russell, Interim Deputy Director - Department of Planning and Land Use
Claudia Anzures, Senior Deputy Counsel - County of San Diego
Maggie Loy, Environmental Coordinator - Department of Planning and Land Use

RECEIVED
DEC 06 2007

DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING
AND LAND USE 439 -

County of San Diego Planning Commissioners
c/o Department of Planning and Land Use
5201 Ruffin Road, Suite B
San Diego, CA 92123

RECEIVED
DEC 14 2007
Item 4
San Diego County
Planning Commission

Dear County of San Diego Planning Commissioners,

**I SUPPORT THE PROPOSAL TO EXPAND THE DRIVING RANGE AND
CONSTRUCT FIVE NEW HOMESITES AT
THE BRIDGES @ RANCHO SANTA FE**

As a resident and/or member of The Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe, I enthusiastically support the proposal to expand the Driving Range and construct five new homesites at The Bridges otherwise known as Alternative C of the Environmental Impact Report (applications: TM5270, MUP 85-084W-5, MUP 064-W4, SPA 01-004, SPA 03-006, VAC 03-018, B/C 03-0250, and B/C 03-0221).

The Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe has, from the outset, had a land plan and architecture throughout the community which reflects the highest quality and compatibility with its surroundings. Conversion of one parcel in Unit 6 into five new homesites are a modest change, entirely located within the confines of The Bridges Specific Plan, and is being done with mitigation for all impacts and with the highest possible sensitivity to neighboring properties.

The expansion of the driving range will fulfill a missing component of the golf course, and enable the Bridges to reach its full potential as a complete world-class golf facility. In addition, the golf members really need this improvement.

I encourage you to vote YES on this proposal!

Signature

Date


Name (Printed)

Address

CC: Chairman Ron Roberts, Forth District Supervisor
Vice-Chairman Greg Cox, First District Supervisor
Bill Horn, Fifth District Supervisor
Dianne Jacob, Second District Supervisor
Pam Slater-Price, Third District Supervisor
Eric Gibson, Interim Planning Director - Department of Planning and Land Use
Glenn Russell, Interim Deputy Director - Department of Planning and Land Use
Claudia Anzures, Senior Deputy Counsel - County of San Diego
Maggie Loy, Environmental Coordinator - Department of Planning and Land Use

RECEIVED
DEC 10 2007
DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING
AND LAND USE

County of San Diego Planning Commissioners
 c/o Department of Planning and Land Use
 5201 Ruffin Road, Suite B
 San Diego, CA 92123



Dear County of San Diego Planning Commissioners,

**I SUPPORT THE PROPOSAL TO EXPAND THE DRIVING
 CONSTRUCT FIVE NEW HOMESITES AT
 THE BRIDGES @ RANCHO SANTA FE**

As a resident and/or member of The Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe, I enthusiastically support the proposal to expand the Driving Range and construct five new homesites at The Bridges known as Alternative C of the Environmental Impact Report (applications: TI 084W-5, MUP 064-W4, SPA 01-004, SPA 03-006, VAC 03-018, B/C 03-024, 0221).

The Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe has, from the outset, had a land plan and architecture that defines the community which reflects the highest quality and compatibility with its surroundings. The conversion of one parcel in Unit 6 into five new homesites are a modest change within the confines of The Bridges Specific Plan, and is being done with minimal impacts and with the highest possible sensitivity to neighboring properties.

The expansion of the driving range will fulfill a missing component of the goal of The Bridges to reach its full potential as a complete world-class golf facility. The members really need this improvement.

I encourage you to vote YES on this proposal!

William Borsari

Signature

WILLIAM BORSARI

Name (Printed)

PO BOX 67593, RANCHO SANTA FE, CA 92067
 Address *(17122 VIA BARRANCA DEL ZORRO)*

Wednesday, December 05, 2007 AOL: Wm Borsari

County of San Diego Planning Commissioners
c/o Department of Planning and Land Use
5201 Ruffin Road, Suite B
San Diego, CA 92123

RECEIVED
DEC 10 2007

DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING
AND LAND USE

Dear County of San Diego Planning Commissioners,

**I SUPPORT THE PROPOSAL TO EXPAND THE DRIVING RANGE AND
CONSTRUCT FIVE NEW HOMESITES AT
THE BRIDGES @ RANCHO SANTA FE**

As a resident and/or member of The Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe, I enthusiastically support the proposal to expand the Driving Range and construct five new homesites at The Bridges otherwise known as Alternative C of the Environmental Impact Report (applications: TM5270, MUP 85-084W-5, MUP 064-W4, SPA 01-004, SPA 03-006, VAC 03-018, B/C 03-0250, and B/C 03-0221).

The Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe has, from the outset, had a land plan and architecture throughout the community which reflects the highest quality and compatibility with its surroundings. Conversion of one parcel in Unit 6 into five new homesites are a modest change, entirely located within the confines of The Bridges Specific Plan, and is being done with mitigation for all impacts and with the highest possible sensitivity to neighboring properties.

The expansion of the driving range will fulfill a missing component of the golf course, and enable the Bridges to reach its full potential as a complete world-class golf facility. In addition, the golf members really need this improvement.

I encourage you to vote YES on this proposal!

Richard Bloch
Signature

12/6/07
Date

RICHARD BLOCH
Name (Printed)

12872 VIA DEFORTUNA, RANCHO SANTA FE
Address

CC: Chairman Ron Roberts, Forth District Supervisor
Vice-Chairman Greg Cox, First District Supervisor
Bill Horn, Fifth District Supervisor
Dianne Jacob, Second District Supervisor
Pam Slater-Price, Third District Supervisor
Eric Gibson, Interim Planning Director - Department of Planning and Land Use
Glenn Russell, Interim Deputy Director - Department of Planning and Land Use
Claudia Anzures, Senior Deputy Counsel - County of San Diego
Maggie Loy, Environmental Coordinator - Department of Planning and Land Use

County of San Diego Planning Commissioners
c/o Department of Planning and Land Use
5201 Ruffin Road, Suite B
San Diego, CA 92123

RECEIVED
DEC 10 2007

Dear County of San Diego Planning Commissioners,

DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING
AND LAND USE

**I SUPPORT THE PROPOSAL TO EXPAND THE DRIVING RANGE AND
CONSTRUCT FIVE NEW HOMESITES AT
THE BRIDGES @ RANCHO SANTA FE**

As a resident and/or member of The Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe, I enthusiastically support the proposal to expand the Driving Range and construct five new homesites at The Bridges otherwise known as Alternative C of the Environmental Impact Report (applications: TM5270, MUP 85-084W-5, MUP 064-W4, SPA 01-004, SPA 03-006, VAC 03-018, B/C 03-0250, and B/C 03-0221).

The Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe has, from the outset, had a land plan and architecture throughout the community which reflects the highest quality and compatibility with its surroundings. Conversion of one parcel in Unit 6 into five new homesites are a modest change, entirely located within the confines of The Bridges Specific Plan, and is being done with mitigation for all impacts and with the highest possible sensitivity to neighboring properties.

The expansion of the driving range will fulfill a missing component of the golf course, and enable the Bridges to reach its full potential as a complete world-class golf facility. In addition, the golf members really need this improvement.

I encourage you to vote YES on this proposal!

Signature

Date

Name (Printed)

Address

CC: Chairman Ron Roberts, Forth District Supervisor
Vice-Chairman Greg Cox, First District Supervisor
Bill Horn, Fifth District Supervisor
Dianne Jacob, Second District Supervisor
Pam Slater-Price, Third District Supervisor
Eric Gibson, Interim Planning Director - Department of Planning and Land Use
Glenn Russell, Interim Deputy Director - Department of Planning and Land Use
Claudia Anzures, Senior Deputy Counsel - County of San Diego
Maggie Loy, Environmental Coordinator - Department of Planning and Land Use

County of San Diego Planning Commissioners
c/o Department of Planning and Land Use
5201 Ruffin Road, Suite B
San Diego, CA 92123

Dear County of San Diego Planning Commissioners,

**I SUPPORT THE PROPOSAL TO EXPAND THE DRIVING RANGE AND
CONSTRUCT FIVE NEW HOMESITES AT
THE BRIDGES @ RANCHO SANTA FE**

As a resident and/or member of The Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe, I enthusiastically support the proposal to expand the Driving Range and construct five new homesites at The Bridges otherwise known as Alternative C of the Environmental Impact Report (applications: TM5270, MUP 85-084W-5, MUP 064-W4, SPA 01-004, SPA 03-006, VAC 03-018, B/C 03-0250, and B/C 03-0221).

The Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe has, from the outset, had a land plan and architecture throughout the community which reflects the highest quality and compatibility with its surroundings. Conversion of one parcel in Unit 6 into five new homesites are a modest change, entirely located within the confines of The Bridges Specific Plan, and is being done with mitigation for all impacts and with the highest possible sensitivity to neighboring properties.

The expansion of the driving range will fulfill a missing component of the golf course, and enable the Bridges to reach its full potential as a complete world-class golf facility. In addition, the golf members really need this improvement.

I encourage you to vote YES on this proposal!

Don Oliphant
Signature

12/5/07
Date

Don Oliphant
Name (Printed)

PO Box 446 , 6515 Mimulus , Rancho Santa Fe, CA.
Address
92067

CC: Chairman Ron Roberts, Forth District Supervisor
Vice-Chairman Greg Cox, First District Supervisor
Bill Horn, Fifth District Supervisor
Dianne Jacob, Second District Supervisor
Pam Slater-Price, Third District Supervisor
Eric Gibson, Interim Planning Director - Department of Planning and Land Use
Glenn Russell, Interim Deputy Director - Department of Planning and Land Use
Claudia Anzures, Senior Deputy Counsel - County of San Diego
Maggie Loy, Environmental Coordinator - Department of Planning and Land Use

RECEIVED
DEC 07 2007

DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING
AND LAND USE

- 444 -

County of San Diego Planning Commissioners
c/o Department of Planning and Land Use
5201 Ruffin Road, Suite B
San Diego, CA 92123

Dear County of San Diego Planning Commissioners,


**I SUPPORT THE PROPOSAL TO EXPAND THE DRIVING RANGE AND
CONSTRUCT FIVE NEW HOMESITES AT
THE BRIDGES @ RANCHO SANTA FE**

As a resident and/or member of The Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe, I enthusiastically support the proposal to expand the Driving Range and construct five new homesites at The Bridges otherwise known as Alternative C of the Environmental Impact Report (applications: TM5270, MUP 85-084W-5, MUP 064-W4, SPA 01-004, SPA 03-006, VAC 03-018, B/C 03-0250, and B/C 03-0221).

The Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe has, from the outset, had a land plan and architecture throughout the community which reflects the highest quality and compatibility with its surroundings. Conversion of one parcel in Unit 6 into five new homesites are a modest change, entirely located within the confines of The Bridges Specific Plan, and is being done with mitigation for all impacts and with the highest possible sensitivity to neighboring properties.

The expansion of the driving range will fulfill a missing component of the golf course, and enable the Bridges to reach its full potential as a complete world-class golf facility. In addition, the golf members really need this improvement.

I encourage you to vote YES on this proposal!


Signature

12/5/07
Date

JEFFREY E. STEINER
Name (Printed)

6969 Corte Spagna, Rancho Santa Fe, CA 92067
Address

CC: Chairman Ron Roberts, Forth District Supervisor
Vice-Chairman Greg Cox, First District Supervisor
Bill Horn, Fifth District Supervisor
Dianne Jacob, Second District Supervisor
Pam Slater-Price, Third District Supervisor
Eric Gibson, Interim Planning Director - Department of Planning and Land Use
Glenn Russell, Interim Deputy Director - Department of Planning and Land Use
Claudia Anzures, Senior Deputy Counsel - County of San Diego
Maggie Loy, Environmental Coordinator - Department of Planning and Land Use

RECEIVED
DEC 07 2007

DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING
AND LAND USE - 445 -

County of San Diego Planning Commissioners
c/o Dept. of Planning and Land Use
5201 Ruffin Road, Suite B
San Diego, CA 92123

Members of the San Diego County Planning Commission:


I am writing in support of the recent request by the Bridges of Rancho Santa Fe to create 5 new building sites and provide a modest expansion to the existing driving range.

The proposal, as I understand it, should be approved for the following reasons:

1. It conforms to the low-density character of the existing community and the approved Specific Plan.
2. Five new homes will not significantly adversely impact the existing county infrastructure or the quality of life of the community.
3. Additional habitat conservation contemplated by this application should adequately provide mitigation for the minor habitat impacts of the five lots.
4. The new homes and site design will be consistent with the balance of the community and will provide "shelter in place" dwellings that have been proven safe during the recent fires.

It is my opinion that the quality of development and the overall low density of the Bridges has served and will continue to serve as a model for future development of areas of the county. Your vote will permit a small amount of new quality development while allowing the vision for the Bridges to continue its evolution.

Sincerely,



Fred M. Arbuckle
18451 Calle La Serra
Rancho Santa Fe, CA 92091

cc: Chairman Roberts, 4th District Supervisor
Vice Chairman Greg Cox, 1st District Supervisor
Bill Horn, 5th District Supervisor
Dianne Jacob, 2nd District Supervisor
Pam Slater-Price, 3rd District Supervisor
Eric Gibson, Interim Planning Director
Glenn Russell, Interim Deputy Director
Claudia Anzures, Senior Deputy Counsel
Maggie Loy, Environmental Coordinator

County of San Diego Planning Commissioners
c/o Dept. of Planning and Land Use
5201 Ruffin Road, Suite B
San Diego, CA 92123

Members of the San Diego County Planning Commission:


I am writing in support of the recent request by the Bridges of Rancho Santa Fe to create 5 new building sites and provide a modest expansion to the existing driving range.

The proposal, as I understand it, should be approved for the following reasons:

1. It conforms to the low-density character of the existing community and the approved Specific Plan.
2. Five new homes will not significantly adversely impact the existing county infrastructure or the quality of life of the community.
3. Additional habitat conservation contemplated by this application should adequately provide mitigation for the minor habitat impacts of the five lots.
4. The new homes and site design will be consistent with the balance of the community and will provide "shelter in place" dwellings that have been proven safe during the recent fires.

It is my opinion that the quality of development and the overall low density of the Bridges has served and will continue to serve as a model for future development of areas of the county. Your vote will permit a small amount of new quality development while allowing the vision for the Bridges to continue its evolution.

Sincerely,


Helen J. Arbuckle
18451 Calle La Serra
Rancho Santa Fe, CA 92091

12-8-07

cc: Chairman Roberts, 4th District Supervisor
Vice Chairman Greg Cox, 1st District Supervisor
Bill Horn, 5th District Supervisor
Dianne Jacob, 2nd District Supervisor
Pam Slater-Price, 3rd District Supervisor
Eric Gibson, Interim Planning Director
Glenn Russell, Interim Deputy Director
Claudia Anzures, Senior Deputy Counsel
Maggie Loy, Environmental Coordinator

Tim and Molly Oitzman
6670 Calle Ponte Bella
Rancho Santa Fe, California 92091

December 12, 2007

Mr. David P. Kreitzer
County of San Diego Planning Commission
5201 Ruffin Road
San Diego, CA 92123

RE: THE BRIDGES AT RANCHO SANTA FE PROPOSAL TO
1) EXPAND DRIVING RANGE AND
2) CONSTRUCT FIVE NEW HOMES

Dear Mr. Krietzer:

I am a resident of the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe. I have been a resident for nearly 6 years.

I am writing to express my support for the proposed expansion to the golf Driving Range, as well as for the proposed five new homes known as Unit 6.

The Bridges is a first rate planned community, which has been planned and executed reflecting the highest possible standards in all aspects, both as to the community itself as well as its surroundings.


We are very confident that the expansion of the driving range will enhance the golf club. At the same time, the modest addition of five homes will be fully compatible with the surrounding community.

The modest expansion of the driving range will fulfill a missing component of the golf club, enabling the Bridges to reach its full potential as a world-class golf course. Members of the golf club have expressed strong opinions regarding the need for improving the current substandard driving range.

I understand that the very small area of open space in Unit 6 being converted into five homesites is being mitigated by replacing it with four times as much habitat and open space, at a location which is environmentally superior. The five homesites are located entirely within the confines of the Bridges community, have been planned with the highest sensitivity to neighboring properties, and are solely accessed through the Bridges' internal roadways. Additionally, these five new residents will travel past my home daily to access their property and I support these new homes because I feel they will further enhance our community.

For these reasons, *I strongly support the Bridges' expansion of the driving range, as well as the creation of five new homesites, collectively known as Alternative C of the proposed project's Environmental Impact Report.*

Sincerely,



Tim Oitzman

December 13, 2007

Ms. Maggie Loy
County of San Diego
5201 Ruffin Road
San Diego, CA 92123

**RE: THE BRIDGES AT RANCHO SANTA FE PROPOSAL TO
1) EXPAND DRIVING RANGE AND
2) CONSTRUCT FIVE NEW HOMES**

Dear Ms. Loy:

I reside at 3086 Wildflower Drive, Olivenhain, CA in Wildflower Estates, a community adjacent to the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe golf club and community. I have lived in this neighborhood for seven and one half years.

I am writing to express my support for the proposed expansion to the golf Driving Range, as well as for the proposed five new homes known as Unit 6.

The Bridges has been and is a good neighbor. It is a first rate planned community, which has been planned and executed reflecting the highest possible standards in all aspects, both as to the community itself as well as its surroundings. Its proximity to my home and our development has been an asset to our increased property values and to the area's tax base.

I am able to see the Bridges from my home, and I am not concerned that the expansion to the driving range, as well as the addition of five new homes, will significantly or negatively affect my view. On the contrary, I think the opposite is true. I believe the clubhouse and the golf course have enhanced the view from my home, adding a very interesting component to my vista, and I am confident these additional elements will not significantly eliminate this from view.

The very small area of open space in Unit 6 being converted into five home sites is being mitigated by replacing with four times as much habitat and open space, at a location which is environmentally superior. The five home sites are located entirely within the confines of the Bridges community, have been planned with the highest sensitivity to neighboring properties, and are solely accessed through the Bridges' internal roadways.

For these reasons, I strongly support the Bridges' expansion of the driving range, as well as the creation of five new home sites, collectively known as Alternative C of the proposed project's Environmental Impact Report.

Sincerely,

Joseph M. Ramos

Joseph M. Ramos
3086 Wildflower Drive
Olivenhain, CA 92024

Home Telephone: 858-759-7090
Mobile Telephone: 858-361-3569

County of San Diego Planning Commissioners
c/o Department of Planning and Land Use
5201 Ruffin Road, Suite B
San Diego, CA 92123

Dear County of San Diego Planning Commissioners,

**I SUPPORT THE PROPOSAL TO EXPAND THE DRIVING RANGE AND
CONSTRUCT FIVE NEW HOMESITES AT
THE BRIDGES @ RANCHO SANTA FE**

As a resident and/or member of The Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe, I enthusiastically support the proposal to expand the Driving Range and construct five new homesites at The Bridges otherwise known as Alternative C of the Environmental Impact Report (applications: TM5270, MUP 85-084W-5, MUP 064-W4, SPA 01-004, SPA 03-006, VAC 03-018, B/C 03-0250, and B/C 03-0221).

The Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe has, from the outset, had a land plan and architecture throughout the community which reflects the highest quality and compatibility with its surroundings. Conversion of one parcel in Unit 6 into five new homesites are a modest change, entirely located within the confines of The Bridges Specific Plan, and is being done with mitigation for all impacts and with the highest possible sensitivity to neighboring properties.

The expansion of the driving range will fulfill a missing component of the golf course, and enable the Bridges to reach its full potential as a complete world-class golf facility. In addition, the golf members really need this improvement.

I encourage you to vote YES on this proposal!

Signature

Name (Printed)

Date

P.O. Box 7242, Rancho Santa Fe, CA, 92067
Address

CC: Chairman Ron Roberts, Forth District Supervisor
Vice-Chairman Greg Cox, First District Supervisor
Bill Horn, Fifth District Supervisor
Dianne Jacob, Second District Supervisor
Pam Slater-Price, Third District Supervisor
Eric Gibson, Interim Planning Director - Department of Planning and Land Use
Glenn Russell, Interim Deputy Director - Department of Planning and Land Use
Claudia Anzures, Senior Deputy Counsel - County of San Diego
Maggie Loy, Environmental Coordinator - Department of Planning and Land Use

RECEIVED
DEC 06 2007

DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING
AND LAND USE - 450 -